

From: Hanyu helloluna520@gmail.com  
Subject: F2025358 – further submission and supporting extracts  
Date: 19 Mar 2026 at 9:56:35 pm  
To: Jennifer Libby Jennifer.Libby@oic.wa.gov.au

---

Dear Ms Libby,

Thank you for your email.

In response to your request for further submissions regarding paragraph 1.3 of my original application, I wish to clarify that I am not seeking publicly available legislation, standards, model codes, or external guidance materials.

Paragraph 1.3 sought the current and complete internal operational documents used by DPIRD, as at 9 July 2025, to govern the Designated Inspector program and related oversight functions. This includes internal SOPs, policies, manuals, instructions, templates, and internal reporting / data collection / recordkeeping requirements relevant to inspections, enforcement, and oversight.

My concern is that publicly available animal welfare standards or model codes do not answer whether DPIRD held internal operational instruments of that kind.

I attach three short extracts as supporting material. These are not the documents sought under paragraph 1.3 themselves. Rather, I rely on them only as evidence that internal operational documents of the kind described in paragraph 1.3 should reasonably exist:

1. An extract from the AWAC Terms of Reference, showing that the development and implementation of standards relevant to training and competency assessment of appointed inspectors sits within the institutional framework.
2. An extract from a later DPIRD decision, in which the agency states that General Inspectors and Designated Inspectors have a defined set of standards and training.
3. An extract from material later released to me by the Minister's Office, recording that DPIRD was implementing specific training for designated inspectors.

Taken together, these materials support the proposition that relevant internal operational documents should exist within broader functional records, even if they are not held as a discrete file set explicitly titled "Designated Inspector".

My concern remains that the agency appears to have confined its searches to documents explicitly labelled "Designated Inspector", rather than broader General Inspector / operational / training / governance records that govern Designated Inspectors in practice.

Please let me know if any further clarification would assist.

Kind regards,  
Hanyu Liu

----- Original -----

**From:** Jennifer Libby <Jennifer.Libby@oic.wa.gov.au>

**Date:** Thu, Mar 19, 2026 4:07 PM

**To:** helloluna520@gmail.com <helloluna520@gmail.com>

**Subject:** Re: RE: FOI ACT - EXTERNAL REVIEW - DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRY AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT (Our ref:F2025358)

Dear Ms Liu

My apologies, please see the below amendments in red below.

Regards

**Jennifer Libby**

Senior Review Officer

T 08 6551 7888 E [Jennifer.Libby@oic.wa.gov.au](mailto:Jennifer.Libby@oic.wa.gov.au)

Albert Facey House, 469 Wellington St, Perth WA 6000, Australia

[www.oic.wa.gov.au](http://www.oic.wa.gov.au)

原文图片

---

**From:** Jennifer Libby

**Sent:** Thursday, 19 March 2026 3:59 PM

**To:** helloluna520@gmail.com

**Subject:** FOI ACT - EXTERNAL REVIEW - DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRY AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT (Our ref:F2025358)

Dear Ms Liu

Further to the Information Commissioner's letter dated **15 January 2026** ~~4 December 2025~~ and our conversation earlier today I would like to confirm the above matter has been allocated to me to progress.

My understanding is you object, in summary, to the agency's decision for the following reasons:

1. Inadequate Search under section 26;
2. Misconstruction of Scope;
3. Breach of Duty to Assist;
4. Procedural Unreasonableness.

Additional information, in respect of their decision, has been sought from the agency. I will review the agency Freedom of Information file, your complaint and any additional submissions received from the agency.

If my initial view is the Information Commissioner is likely to consider the agency decision is justified, you will be invited to reconsider whether you wish to pursue this matter.

In the interim, I invite you to provide any further submissions as to what information (particularly in respect of paragraph 1.3 of the original decision) you are seeking which is not already publicly available<sup>1</sup> **and why you consider additional documents falling within the scope should exist within the agency by 4pm on 26 March 2026.**

Please let me know if you have any queries or concerns in the interim.

**Jennifer Libby**

Senior Review Officer

T 08 6551 7888 E [Jennifer.Libby@oic.wa.gov.au](mailto:Jennifer.Libby@oic.wa.gov.au)

Albert Facey House, 469 Wellington St, Perth WA 6000, Australia

[www.oic.wa.gov.au](http://www.oic.wa.gov.au)

原文图片

<sup>1</sup> For example [Australian Animal Welfare Standards and Guidelines - DAFF; CSIRO PUBLISHING | Food & Agriculture: Livestock Codes](#); [The Animal Welfare Task Group - DAFF; pigs---model-code-of-practice-for-the-welfare-of-animals-pigs-3rd-edition.pdf](#); [Animal Welfare - Code of Practice for Sheep in WA](#)